UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,427	04/03/2006	Hans Haindl	2565/145	7575
26646 KENYON & K	7590 04/17/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,427	HAINDL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRADLEY J. OSINSKI	3767
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 34-52 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 34-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or subject to subject to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to a subject to subject to by the Examing 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to a subject to subject to by the Examing 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according to a subject to	awn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/574,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claims 34-39 and 41-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker (5,718,682) in view of Plass et al (5,232,453).
 - a. Regarding claim 34, Tucker discloses a chamber 34 for receiving active substances and that is arranged in a housing 42 and closed by a piercable membrane (septum) 44. Also disclosed is a connecting piece 60 which is capable of connecting to a catheter and is in fluid communicating with chamber 34. Finally, Tucker discloses clamping jaws 56a and 56b which connect to the housing as in figure 5. The clamping jaws are opposite one another. In a first position (figure 6) the clamps are laterally spaced from the housing 54 and moves to a second position (figure 5) where clamps 56 are capable of fixing a catheter between the clamping faces 64 depending on how the catheter is arranged along tube 60.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

While Tucker substantially discloses the apparatus as claimed, it does not disclose the clamping jaws being connected to the housing in each of the first and second positions.

Plass discloses a catheter holder that pinches a catheter (see figures 4 and 5) in order to hold the catheter on the rest of the device 32. The two halves 38a/b are attached to the patch 32 at both the first (figure 4) and second (figure 5) positions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Tucker to attach the clamps at a pivot point to cup 54 as taught by Plass as it is a known method of using opposing clamping arms (in both Plass and Tucker) to hold a catheter to a medical device.

- b. Regarding claim 35, Tucker discloses the housing and ring halves are made of a plastic material (Col.4 lines 35-40) such as polyethersulfone (Col.2 lines 56-58). Polyethersulfone is a resilient plastic as explained in other patents or publications such as Blake (2006/0064161) and van Woesik (5,452,386)
- c. Regarding claim 36, Tucker discloses welding the parts together at their interfaces (Col.4 lines 38-41) Arms 56a and 56b fit around the sides of housing part 54 and is fastened to the second housing part 42 via welding along all interfaces, including the end opposite connecting piece 64.
- d. Regarding claim 37, Figure 3 demonstrates a view of the second position.

 As can be seen, clamping jaws 54 are secured to the house by latching into grooves on both parts of the housing 42 and 54.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

squeezed in figure 3.

Regarding claim 38, Tucker discloses groove 66 to guide the clamping e. jaws and connecting piece, which are integral with one another.

- f. Regarding claim 39, Tucker discloses an alternative embodiment in figure 2 in which the lower opening 22 in housing 12 acts as a guide groove which has steps that lock latch hooks 22. Such hooks/barbs are capable of being added to the clamping jaws 56.
- g. in an opening in housing portion 42 via heat welding (Col.4 lines 38-40). It is also possible to lock insert element 16 to housing portion 12 via barbs 26. Furthermore from the difference between figures 3 and 4, it is apparent the insert element exerts pressure on the membrane 44 as the membrane's edges are

Regarding claim 41, Tucker discloses an insert element 54 that is locked

- h. Regarding claims 42-44, Tucker discloses ridges 22 that may fit inside indention rings to form a bayonet connection.
- i. Regarding claims 45-47, As can be seen in figure 3, mutually aligning holes are provided in the housing via 66, insert element 45 at 62 and in the clamping jaws at 64. Cannula 60 progresses through all three holes.
- į. Regarding claim 48, Since the lateral undercut proceeds all the way around the insert element and housing, a segment of the lateral undercut and mutually aligning holes are diametrically opposite one another.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,427

Art Unit: 3767

k. Regarding claims 49 and 50, Tucker discloses that the insert element (cup) is preferably welded to the housing (Col.2 lines 24-26). As the insert element and housing are adhered together, they are adhesively-bound.

Page 5

- 2. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker (5,718,682) and Plass et al (5,232,453) as applied to claim 34 above, and further in view of Dillon et al (6,165,157).
 - I. Regarding claim 40, While Tucker substantially discloses the apparatus as claimed, it does not disclose spigots and holes that are associated with one another and engage. However, Dillon et al provides spigots 66 and holes 68 in figure 4 to bind the two sides of the needle guard to each other, so that the needle guard does not fall off of the catheter 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide spigots and holes as taught by Dillon et al to the clamping jaws of Tucker as such is a known method of securing two pieces together that encircle another piece, additionally, the holes and spigots would assist in lining up the clamping jaws and binding the clamping jaws together more firmly.
- 3. Claims 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker (5,718,682) and Plass et al (5,232,453) as applied to claims 34 and 48 above, and further in view of Felix et al (5,167,638).
 - m. Regarding claims 51 and 52, While Tucker substantially discloses the apparatus as claimed, it does not disclose the way in which the port is made. However, Felix et al teaches injection molded plastic base (Col.2 lines 55-57) for

Art Unit: 3767

a subcutaneous port. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the base of Tucker via injection molding as taught by Felix et al as such is a known technique of forming plastics into appropriate shapes.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRADLEY J. OSINSKI whose telephone number is (571)270-3640. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,427 Page 7

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bradley J Osinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767